

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,853	01/10/2005	Holger Thielert	THIELERT -3 PCT	2683
25889 WILLIAM CO	7590 07/24/2007 LLARD		EXAMINER	
COLLARD & ROE, P.C.			MERKLING, MATTHEW J	
ROSLYN, NY	ERN BOULEVARD 11576		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1764	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
·			07/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
·	10/520,853	THIELERT, HOLGER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit '
	Matthew J. Merkling	1764
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this condition of the provise if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum Failure to reply within the set or extended period for residence.	E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a primunication. In statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO eply will, by statute, cause the application to become A hs after the mailing date of this communication, even it.	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the presented. 	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to res	s/are withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
•	re: a) accepted or b) objected to objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyating the correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
2. Certified copies of the prior3. Copies of the certified copieapplication from the Internal		Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/10/05 and 5/7/07. 	(PTO-948) Paper No.	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The examiner considered the international search report listed in the IDS filed on 5/7/07 but lined through it as it is not a published document available to the public and will not be listed on the face of the patent if one is to be issued.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the mantle side" in line
- 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at

the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere*Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keller et al. (US 2002/0134706) in view of Autenrieth et al. (US 5,935,277).

Regarding claim 1, Keller discloses a reaction system for desulfurization of a gas stream (see abstract), comprising a boiler (40) lined with refractory material (53, paragraph 67), which comprises a combustion chamber/mixing zone (48) having an inflow opening (see left size of Fig. 2), a catalyst chamber (45) having a catalyst bed (47), and a chamber on the outflow side (see Fig. 2), having a gas outlet (56) for hot process gas, wherein the boiler (40) is configured as a horizontal boiler (see Figs. 1 and 2), in which the combustion chamber (48), the catalyst chamber

(45), and the chamber on the outflow (see Fig. 2) side are disposed next to one another, and that the catalyst chamber (45) is delimited, on both sides, in the flow direction, by gas-permeable checker bricks (thermal radiation barrier, (46)).

Keller fails to teach a fill opening for introducing the catalyst bed.

Autenrieth also discloses a reaction system containing a catalyst.

Autenrieth teaches an opening (25) in the side of the reaction section (see Fig. 3) as a preferable way of easily removing catalyst (2) without requiring demounting operations on the reactor housing (col. 7 lines 4-35).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the opening in the side of the reaction section, as in Autenrieth, to the reaction system of Keller as a preferable way of easily removing catalyst without requiring demounting operations on the reaction section housing.

Regarding claim 2, Keller, as discussed in claim 1 above, further discloses the flow opening and gas outlet are disposed on opposite faces of the boiler (see flow direction through boiler ((40) in Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 3, Keller, as discussed in claim 1 above, further discloses the checker bricks (thermal radiation barrier, (46)) is made from a porous ceramic material which has elongated pores (paragraph 43).

7. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keller et al. (US 2002/0134706) and Autenrieth et al. (US 5,935,277) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Vora et al. (US 6,280,609) and Apffel (US 4,597,788).

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Keller discloses the product from the reaction system described above is further treated in a catalyst bed (20) downstream of the boiler (40).

Keller discloses that a portion of this product stream, after cooled in a waste heat boiler (60, which also serves as a means of condensing elemental sulfur and producing steam (see Fig. 2)) and condenser is heated back up by exchanger (24) and charge heater (16) prior to entering the catalyst bed (20).

Keller fails to teach that wherein on the circumference of the chamber on the outflow side, a line is connected, which opens into a process gas line adjacent to the boiler, in the opening region of the branch line.

Vora also discloses a reaction system.

Vora teaches a portion of an effluent stream (14) is used to preheat a stream (10) to a desired temperature prior to entering a reactor (18) in order to eliminate the need for a charge heater and subsequently reducing the capital cost of the reaction system (col. 9 lines 16-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to remove a portion of the effluent stream (as in Vora) from the chamber downstream of the catalyst chamber and prior to the waste heat boiler (of modified Keller) and connect this portion to the line directed from the outlet of the waste heat boiler (60 of Keller) to the catalyst bed (20) in order to utilize heat from the effluent stream more efficiently and eliminating the need for an extra heat exchanger or charge heater and subsequently reducing the capital cost of the reaction system.

Keller, as modified above, teaches the combination of two streams with substantially different temperature, but fails to teach a temperature control valve (valve body) on the outlet line from the chamber downstream of the catalyst chamber.

Apffel also discloses a system of combining two streams of substantially different temperature.

Apffel teaches a temperature control valve (34) that opens and closes a valve body which regulates flow of a temperature controlling stream (in this case, a refrigerant) in order to selectively control the temperature of a process stream (col. 5 lines 46-55).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the temperature control valve with adjustable valve body of Apffel to the line from the chamber downstream of the reaction chamber of the modified Keller in order to selectively control the temperature of the inlet stream to the catalyst bed (20) of modified Keller.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Merkling whose telephone number is (571) 272-9813. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MJM

্রিlenn Caldarola Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700